



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,968	01/31/2001	Marwan Zebian	J1-2-98121-B-US	6029
33356	7590	06/01/2006	EXAMINER	
SoCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP 310 N. WESTLAKE BLVD. STE 120 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91362			PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/774,968	ZEBIAN, MARWAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ashok B. Patel	2154	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ashok B. Patel.

(2) Joel G. Landau.

**Status of Application: Under Appeal**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2006

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See continuation sheet.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
 SUPERVISORY, PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

A. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on May 12, 2005 has been considered.

B. The following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed December 13, 2004:

(1) "Summary of claimed subject matter" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v);

(2) "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(vi);

(3) "Evidence appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix);  
and

(4) "Related proceedings appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on December 13, 2004 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). It is required that a substitute Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at:  
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/osices/dcom/bpai/frzoo4/moreinfo.html>

Applicant is hereby advised to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37.